



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington. DC 20231

A	APPLICATION NO. FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED	NVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	08/479,215 0	6/07/95	HARVEY	·	J	
Γ	HOWREY & SIMON BOX NO. 34		LM61/0616	٦	EXAMINER LUTHER, W	
	WASHINGTON DC	20004-24	02		2731	PAPER NUMBER
					DATE MAILED:	06/16/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

08/479,215

Applicant(s)

HARVEY et al

Advisory Action

Examiner

William Luther

Group Art Unit 2731



TH	E PER	IOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]					
	a) 🔲	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
	b) 🔀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
	date o detern	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The n which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ited from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
	Appe period	lant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any If for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
		t's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Apr 6, 1998</u> has been considered with the following effect, DT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:					
X	The p	roposed amendment(s):					
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.						
	X v	ill not be entered because:					
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).						
	X	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).					
	X	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.					
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
	NC	TE: the proposed amendment, directed toward the new matter identified in the final office action, is considered related to the issue of new matter.					
	□ A - -	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):					
		y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.					
X	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the art, per explaination, is found to fairly read on the claim breadth.						
		The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
X	For p	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):					
	Clain	ns allowed:					
	The	proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.					
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)						
	Othe	(King 1,) out in					
	ļ	Villiam Luther CHIH. PHAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2700 A C. JAR					
		703) 308-669 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2700 6/(5/48)					

Advisory Action